REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL #2019-030
Higher Education Course Catalog Solution
RESPONSE ADDENDUM #02

QUESTIONS

1. Is curriculum shared between campuses? If not, is there any plan to share curriculum or have common courses in the future?
Answer: Yes, we plan to use the platform to share courses, but may not right of the gate.

2. Does each campus have their own workflows for curriculum approvals? How much do the workflows differ? Does any curriculum need to be approved at the system level?
Answer: Yes each campus manages workflows and curriculum approvals. Unknown as to how the workflows currently differ, we would expect the solution proposed would engage campuses to analyze these aspects mentioned. Curriculum does not need to be approved at the system level.

3. Are you interested in single sign-on or remote authentication? If so, which method?
Answer: Yes single sign on. UMS currently operates both a Shibboleth/SAML2 (preferred) and CAS protocol identity provider. Note: Principal names (email addresses) are not permanently assigned in our environment.

4. Do all campuses in the system use on instance of PeopleSoft?
Answer: Yes

5. In reference to the request for reporting capability to flag errors and aid in reconciling data, can you provide examples of the types of errors you are interested in flagging?
Answer: When course information is entered and submitted it might be missing data, have data that is invalid or improperly linked to unauthorized degrees. Some systems will validate real time and some would run background process after submission. This requirement allows for either option.

6. Can you provide PDFs of the most recent versions of each catalog, including University of Southern Maine and UM Fort Kent?
Answer: We will provide them to the vendor that receives the award. ***Catalogs are available online.

7. Are there any campuses that would be willing to or make sense to combine into joint consulting sessions?
Answer: Campuses operate under separate budgets so pricing for such consulting services would need to remain separate. Yes, UMPI and UMFK are looking to do

this implementation together; however, we are not requiring campuses to work on this together.

8. Is there any intent to standardize the format of content among campuses?
   Answer: Branding is important to each campus so we would need to take that into consideration. See Sections 1.1.3 and 1.1.4 for details on branding requirements.

9. Is there an expected date for project completion?
   Answer: Campus agreement to utilize this option will depend on the solution offered and pricing.   Campuses wishing to engage will likely require planning for semester start dates and planning needs.