

# Administered by University of Maine System Office of Strategic Procurement Request for Proposal (RFP)

# University of Maine

Maine Agriculture & Forest Experiment Station

# **Radially Viewed ICP Spectrometer**

# RFP #036-19

Issued Date: December 11, 2018

Response <u>Deadline</u> Date/Time: December 21, 2018, End of Business

# **Response Submission Information:**

Submitted electronically to roger.ward@maine.edu Email Subject Line – UM ICP Spectrometer – RFP #036-19

# **Response Contact Information:**

Strategic Sourcing Manager (SSM): Ryan Ward Email: roger.ward@maine.edu Phone: (207) 581-3210

# Table of Contents

| 1.0 | INTRODUCTION   |
|-----|--|
| 1.1 | Definitions, Background, Purpose and Specifications3                                 |
| 1.2 | General Information4   |
| 1.3 | General Submission Provisions7   |
| 2.0 | EVALUATION AND AWARD PROCESS   |
| 2.1 | Evaluation Criteria9   |
| 2.2 | Award10  |
| 2.3 | Negotiations10   |
| 2.4 | Award Protest  |
| 3.0 | RESPONSE FORMAT REQUIREMENTS   |
| 3.1 | General Format Instructions  |
| 3.2 | Response Format Instructions11   |
| Α   | ppendix A – University of Maine System Response Cover Page                           |
| Α   | ppendix B – Debarment, Performance and Non-Collusion Certification14                 |
| Α   | ppendix C – Required Cost Evaluation Exhibits15                                      |
| Α   | ppendix F – Evaluation Question(s) - Organization, Qualifications and Experience 19  |
|     | ppendix G – Evaluation Question(s) – General, Implementation, Training and<br>upport |
| Α   | ppendix G2 – Solution Requirements Matrix Error! Bookmark not defined.               |

# **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

## **1.1** Definitions, Background, Purpose and Specifications

## 1.1.1 Definitions

The University of Maine System will hereinafter be referred to as the "University." Respondents to the document shall be referred to as "Respondent(s)" or "Respondent".

The Respondent to whom the Agreement is awarded shall be referred to as the "Contractor."

The University of Maine System and other components of the University shall be referred to as "Multi-Institution".

### 1.1.2 Background

<u>Overview</u>

Established in 1968, the University of Maine System (UMS) unites seven distinctive public universities, comprising 10 campuses and numerous centers, in the common purposes of providing quality higher education while delivering on its traditional tripartite mission of teaching, research, and public service.

Maine's largest educational enterprise, the University extends its mission as a major resource for the state, linking economic growth, the education of its people, and the application of research and scholarship.

A comprehensive public institution of higher education, UMS serves nearly 40,000 students annually and is supported by the efforts of more than 2,000 full-time and part-time faculty, more than 3,000 regular full-time and part-time staff, and a complement of part-time temporary (adjunct) faculty.

Reaching more than 500,000 people annually through educational and cultural offerings, the University of Maine System also benefits from more than two-thirds of its alumni population residing within the state; more than 123,000 individuals.

The System consists of the following seven universities: University of Maine (UM); University of Maine at Machias (UMM); University of Maine at Augusta (UMA); University of Maine at Presque Isle (UMPI); University of Maine at Farmington (UMF); University of Southern Maine (USM); and, University of Maine at Fort Kent (UMFK).

Operating within a shared services model, the offices of Information Technology, Strategic Procurement, Human Resources, Facilities, Risk and General Services, Finance and Budget, Shared Processing Center, General Counsel and Organizational Effectiveness partner to form the University Services organization.

Charged with delivering key administrative functions across the System, University Services is dedicated to leveraging its significant unit and collective resources to not only serve the immediate needs of its constituents, but deliver sustainable economies and efficiencies for the future benefit of the System as well.

## **Campus thumbnails**

#### University of Maine

Established as a land grant college in 1865, the University of Maine is a public research university located in Orono and referred to as the flagship institution of the University of Maine System. UMaine, as it is often called, has an overall enrollment of over 11,000 students who pursue majors in ninety undergraduate disciplines, more than seventy masters' courses of study and thirty doctoral programs. Ranked 105<sup>th</sup> by the National Science Foundation among American research universities, UMaine's research faculty has an international reputation for excellence and the campus' Fogler Library is the largest in the state. Located on more than 600 acres only a few miles from Bangor, one of Maine's largest cities, the University of Maine is a major resource not only for education but economic and community development throughout the state as well.

#### 1.1.3 Purpose

The **Maine Agriculture & Forest Experiment Station** is seeking responses to provide **Radially Viewed ICP Spectrometer** as defined in this document. This document provides instructions for submitting responses, the procedure and criteria by which the Respondent(s) will be selected, and the contractual terms which will govern the relationship between the University and the awarded Respondent(s).

Respondents should review **1.1.4 Specifications / Scope of Work** of this document to see the full Scope of Services/Products required.

#### **1.1.4** Specifications / Scope of Work

The Maine Agriculture & Forest Experiment Station seeks one (1) new ICP Spectrometer as described below:

- Solid State Radial View Optical Emission Spectrometer with wavelength coverage from 175-775 nm or better
- Includes 4-channel Peristaltic Pump, Internal Standard kit, and pump tubing kit
- Cyclonic Spray Chamber, Teflon Nebulizer, Vertically Mounted Torch w/High Solids Injector
- X-Y-Z Autosampler: ASX-560 or equivalent with BelArt racks
- EPA CLP compatible Operating System
- PC and Monitor
- Installation and Initial Training

## **1.2 General Information**

**1.2.1** Communication with the University

It is the responsibility of the Respondent to inquire about any requirement of this document that is not understood. Responses to inquiries, if they change or clarify the document in a substantial manner, will be forwarded by addenda to all parties that have received a copy of the document. Addenda will also be posted on our web site, **www.maine.edu/strategic/upcoming\_bids.php** 

#### Dated: December 11, 2018

It is the responsibility of all Respondents to check the web site before submitting a response to ensure that they have all pertinent documents. The University will not be bound by oral responses to inquiries or written responses other than addenda.

Inquiries must be made using the **Response Contact Information** provided on the cover sheet of this document. Refer to table in **Section 1.3.1 Timeline of Key Events** for deadline requirements.

## **1.2.2** Confidentiality

The University must adhere to the provisions of the Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA), 1 MRSA §401 et seq. As a condition of submitting a response under this section, a respondent must accept that, to the extent required by the Maine FOAA, responses to this solicitation, and any ensuing contractual documents, are considered public records and therefore are subject to freedom of access requests.

The information contained in responses submitted for the University's consideration will be held in confidence until all evaluations are concluded and a Respondent selected (the successful Respondent). At that time the University will issue award notice letters to all participating Respondents and all Respondents' responses may be made available to participating Respondents upon request. Such request must be made by submitting a written request to the individual noted in the Response Contact Information shown on the cover sheet of this document, with a copy of the request provided to the other Respondents. Such requests are public records.

After the protest period has passed and the Agreement is fully executed, responses will be available for public inspection upon request.

Pricing and other information that is an integral part of the offer cannot be considered confidential after an award has been made. The University will honor requests for confidentiality for information that meets the definition of "trade secret" under Maine law. Clearly mark any portion of your submitted materials which are entitled to "trade secret" exemption from disclosure under Maine's Freedom of Access Act. Failure to so identify as trade secret will authorize the University to conclude that no portions are so exempt; and that your entity will defend, indemnify and hold harmless the University in any and all legal actions that seek to compel the University to disclose under Maine's Freedom of Access Act some or all of your submitted materials and/or contract, if any, executed between the University and your entity.

## **1.2.3** Costs of Preparation

Respondent assumes all costs of preparation of the response and any presentations necessary to the response process.

## **1.2.4** Authorization

Any Agreement for services that will, or may, result in the expenditure by the University of \$50,000 or more must be approved in writing by the Office of Strategic Procurement, Chief Procurement Officer and it is not approved, valid or effective until such written approval is granted.

## 1.2.5 Pricing

All prices provided shall remain firm for the entire term of the agreement. The unit will be purchased by the University utilizing the University's contract with Bank of America to finance the unit. The University does not seek financing option(s) and pricing shall be based on purchase price. The University will provide the awarded vendor a letter of intent to purchase in substitute of a purchase order. Payment terms will be net 30 days from completion of delivery, installation and training.

Pricing shall include total cost of the equipment as described in your submission, delivery, installation, training, and any / all support, warranties, etc. as described in your submission.

## **1.2.6** Cost Response Form Quantities

The quantities shown on the cost response form are approximate only. The Contractor shall cover the actual needs of the University throughout the term of the Agreement regardless of whether they are more or less than the quantities shown.

## 1.2.7 Employees

The Contractor shall employ only competent and satisfactory personnel and shall provide a sufficient number of employees to perform the required services efficiently and in a manner satisfactory to the University. If the Agreement Administrator or designee, notifies the Contractor in writing that any person employed on this Agreement is incompetent, disorderly, or otherwise unsatisfactory, such person shall not again be employed in the execution of this Agreement without the prior written consent of the Agreement Administrator.

## **1.2.8** Environment Compliance

In the event that the resulting Agreement involves the generation, transportation, handling, disposal, and/or other operations or activities in relation to toxic, hazardous, radioactive, or otherwise dangerous gases, vapors, fumes, acids, alkali's, chemicals, wastes or contaminants and/or other substance, material or condition, the Contractor agrees to indemnify save harmless and defend the University from and against all liabilities, claims, damages, forfeitures, suits, and the costs and expenses incident thereto (including costs of defense, settlement and reasonable attorney's fees) which the University may hereafter incur as a result of death or bodily injuries or damage to any property, contamination of or adverse effects of the environment or any violation of state or federal regulations or laws (including without limitation the Resources Conservation and Recovery Act, the Hazardous Material Transportation Act or the Superfund Amendment and Reauthorization Act, as the same now exists or may hereafter be amended) or order based on or arising in whole or in part from the Contractor's performance under the Agreement, provided, however the Contractor shall not indemnify the University for any liabilities, claims, damages, (as set forth above) caused by or arising out of the sole negligence of the University, or arising out of any area of responsibility not attributable to Contractor.

Request for Proposal (IT) – UMaine Radially Viewed ICP Spectrometer

## **1.3 General Submission Provisions**

**1.3.1** Timeline of Key Events

| Reference<br>Section | Event Name                  | Event Due Date           |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Section              | Deadline for Written        | December 13, 2018 End of |
| 1.2.2                | Inquiries/Questions         | Business                 |
| Section              | Response to Written         | December 17, 2018 End of |
| 1.2.2                | Inquiries/Questions         | Business                 |
| Section              | Deadline for Proposal       | December 21, 2018 End of |
| 1.2.2                | Submission                  | Business                 |
| Section 2.2          | Award Announcement (subject | January 4, 2019          |
|                      | to change)                  |                          |

### **1.3.2** Eligibility to Submit Responses

Public entities, private for-profit companies, and non-profit companies and institutions are invited to submit a response to this document.

## 1.3.3 Debarment

Respondents must complete and submit the "Debarment, Performance and Non-Collusion Certification Form provided in Appendix B. Failure to provide this certification may result in the disqualification of the Respondent's proposal, at the University's discretion.

Submission of a signed response in response to this solicitation is certification that your firm (or any subcontractor) is not currently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction by any State or Federal department or agency. Submission is also agreement that the University will be notified of any change in this status.

## **1.3.4** Response Understanding

By submitting a response, the Respondent agrees and assures that the specifications are adequate, and the Respondent accepts the terms and conditions herein. Any exceptions should be noted in your response.

#### **1.3.5** Response Validity

Unless specified otherwise, all responses shall be valid for ninety (90) days from the due date of the response.

## **1.3.6** Non-Response Submission

The University will not consider non-responsive submissions, i.e., those with material deficiencies, omissions, errors or inconsistencies or that otherwise do not follow instructions. The University in its sole discretion will determine what is Non-Responsive.

## 1.3.7 Respondents' Presentations

Presentations may be requested of two or more Respondents deemed by the University to be the best suited among those submitting responses on the basis of the selection criteria. After presentations have been conducted, the University may

#### Request for Proposal (IT) – UMaine Radially Viewed ICP Spectrometer

#### Dated: December 11, 2018

select the Respondent(s) which, in its opinion, has made the response that is the most responsive and most responsible and may award the Agreement to that/those Respondent(s).

### 1.3.8 Response Submission

A **SIGNED** virus-free electronic copy must be submitted as follows:

- The response must be received electronically to the E-Mail shown in the **Response Submission Information** section of the cover page of this document.
- Electronic submission must be received by the required **Response Deadline Date/Time** reflected on the cover page of this document.
- Response submissions that exceed 20 MB will be submitted with multiple emails modifying email subject line shown in the **Response Submission Information** section of the cover page of this document to include: Submission 1 of X ('X' representing the number of files being submitted).

# 2.0 EVALUATION AND AWARD PROCESS

## 2.1 Evaluation Criteria

### 2.1.1 Scoring Weights

The score will be based on a 100 point scale and will measure the degree to which each response meets the following criteria:

| Evaluation<br>Appendices | Category  | Points |
|--------------------------|---|--------|
| Appendix C               | Cost Evaluation   | 40     |
| Appendix D               | Contractor's Service Level Agreement                    | 5      |
| Appendix E & F           | Organization, Qualifications, Experience and References | 15     |
| Appendix G               | General, Implementation, Training and Support           | 10     |
| Appendix H               | Equipment Description                                   | 30     |
|                          | Total Points  | 100    |

## 2.1.2 Scoring Section Descriptions

2.1.2.1 Cost Evaluation

The total cost proposed for conducting all the functions specified in this document will be assigned a score according to a mathematical formula. The lowest cost response will be awarded the total points. Responses with higher cost response values will be awarded proportionately fewer points calculated in comparison with the lowest cost response.

The scoring formula is:

(Lowest submitted cost response / cost of response being scored) x **Points** = pro-rated score

The University will <u>NOT</u> seek a best and final offer (BAFO) from any Respondent in this procurement process. All Respondents are expected to provide their best value pricing with the submission of their response. Respondents will <u>NOT</u> be given another opportunity to modify pricing once submitted.

- 2.1.2.2 Contractor's Service Maintenance Agreement The evaluation team will use a consensus approach to evaluate and assign evaluation based on University risk assessment. The University reserves the right to reject any or all responses, in whole or in part, for any response receiving no points in this section in accordance with Section 2.2 Award.
- 2.1.2.3 Organization, Qualifications, Experience and References The evaluation team will use a consensus approach to evaluate and assign evaluation points. Reference checks will be performed on the top Respondent(s) only as determined by consensus scoring in the other categories.
- 2.1.2.4 General, Implementation, Training and Support

University of Maine System RFP Rev. 07/01/2018

The evaluation team will use a consensus approach to evaluate and assign evaluation points.

#### 2.1.2.5 Equipment Description The evaluation team will use a consens

The evaluation team will use a consensus approach to evaluate and assign evaluation points based on the description of the equipment in comparison to the equipment description in Section 1.1.4.

## 2.2 Award

The University reserves the right to waive minor irregularities, which may include contacting the Respondent to resolve the irregularity. Scholarships, donations, or gifts to the University, will not be considered in the evaluation of responses. The University reserves the right to reject any or all responses, in whole or in part, and is not necessarily bound to accept the lowest cost response if that response is contrary to the best interests of the University. The University may cancel this request or reject any or all responses in whole or in part. Should the University determine in its sole discretion that only one Respondent is fully qualified, or that one Respondent is clearly more qualified than any other under consideration, an Agreement may be awarded to that Respondent without further action.

## 2.3 Negotiations

The University reserves the right to negotiate with the successful Respondent to finalize a contract. Such negotiations may not significantly vary the content, nature or requirements of the proposal or the University's Request for Proposals to an extent that may affect the price of goods or services requested. <u>The University reserves the right to terminate contract negotiations with a selected respondent who submits a proposed contract significantly different from the response they submitted in response to the advertised RFP. In the event that an acceptable contract cannot be negotiated with the highest ranked Respondent, the University may withdraw its award and negotiate with the next-highest ranked Respondent, and so on, until an acceptable contract has been finalized. Alternatively, the University may cancel the RFP, at its sole discretion.</u>

## 2.4 Award Protest

Respondents may appeal the award decision by submitting a written protest to the University of Maine System's Chief Procurement Officer within five (5) business days of the date of the award notice, with a copy of the protest to the successful Respondent. The protest must contain a statement of the basis for the challenge. Further information regarding the appeal process can be found at

http://staticweb.maine.edu/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/APL\_VII-A\_20150630-FINAL.pdf?565a1d

If this RFP results in the creation of a pre-qualified or pre-approved list of vendors, then the appeal procedures mentioned above are available upon the original determination of that vendor list, but not during subsequent competitive procedures involving only the prequalified or pre-approved list participants.

# **3.0 RESPONSE FORMAT REQUIREMENTS**

## 3.1 General Format Instructions

## 3.1.1 Electronic Submissions

Documents submitted as part of the electronic response are to be prepared on standard electronic formats of 8-1/2" x 11" and of PDF file type. Submissions requiring additional supporting information, such as, foldouts containing charts, spreadsheets, and oversize exhibits are permissible and must be submitted as Appendices, clearly numbered and referencing the Section in which they provide supporting information.

For clarity, the Respondent's name should appear on every document page, including Appendices. Each Appendix must reference the section or subsection number to which it corresponds.

## 3.1.2 Respondents Responsibility

It is the responsibility of the Respondent to provide <u>all</u> information requested in the document package <u>at the time of submission</u>. Failure to provide information requested in this document may, at the discretion of the University's evaluation review team, result in a lower rating for the incomplete sections and may result in the response being disqualified for consideration. Include any forms provided in the application package or reproduce those forms as closely as possible. All information should be presented in the same order and format as described in this document.

### 3.1.3 Brief Response

Respondents are asked to be brief and to respond to each question listed in the "Response to Questions" section of this document. Number each response in the response to correspond to the relevant question in this document.

## 3.1.4 Additional Attachments Prohibited

The Respondent may not provide additional attachments beyond those specified in the document for the purpose of extending their response. Any material exceeding the response limit will not be considered in rating the response and will not be returned. Respondents shall not include brochures or other promotional material with their response. Additional materials will not be considered part of the response and will not be evaluated.

## 3.2 **Response Format Instructions**

This section contains instructions for Respondents to use in preparing their response. The Respondent's submission must follow the outline used below, including the numbering of section and sub-section headings. Failure to use the outline specified in this section or to respond to all questions and instructions throughout this document may result in the response being disqualified as non-responsive or receiving a reduced score.

The University and its evaluation team for this document have sole discretion to determine whether a variance from the document specifications should result in either disqualification or reduction in scoring of a response.

#### Request for Proposal (IT) – UMaine Radially Viewed ICP Spectrometer

#### Dated: December 11, 2018

Re-phrasing of the content provided in this document will, at best, be considered minimally responsive. The University seeks detailed yet succinct responses that demonstrate the Respondent's experience and ability to perform the requirements specified throughout this document.

- 1. Insert Appendix A University of Maine System Response Cover Page
- 2. Insert Appendix B Debarment, Performance and Non-Collusion Certification
- **3.** Insert Appendix C Required Cost Evaluation Exhibit A
- 4. Insert Appendix D Service Level Agreement
- **5.** Insert Appendix E Organization Reference Form
- 6. Insert Appendix F Evaluation Question(s) Organization, Qualifications and Experience
- 7. Insert Appendix G Evaluation Question(s) General, Implementation, Training and Support
- **8.** Insert Appendix H Equipment Description

## Appendix A – University of Maine System Response Cover Page

| Organization Name:           |  |
|------------------------------|--|
| Chief Executive –            |  |
| Name/Title:                  |  |
| Telephone:                   |  |
| Fax:                         |  |
| Email:                       |  |
| Headquarters Street          |  |
| Address:                     |  |
| Headquarters City/State/Zip: |  |
| Lead Point of Contact for    |  |
| Quote – Name/Title:          |  |
| Telephone:                   |  |
| Fax:                         |  |
| Email:                       |  |
| Street Address:              |  |
| City/State/Zip:              |  |

RFP #036-19 University of Maine Radially Viewed ICP Spectrometer

- 1. This pricing structure contained herein will remain firm for a period of 90 days from the date and time of the quote deadline date.
- 2. No personnel currently employed by the University or any other University agency participated, either directly or indirectly, in any activities relating to the preparation of the Respondent's response.
- 3. No attempt has been made or will be made by the Respondent to induce any other person or firm to submit or not to submit a response.
- 4. The undersigned is authorized to enter into contractual obligations on behalf of the above-named organization.
- 5. By submitting a response to a Request for Proposal, bid or other offer to do business with the University your entity understands and agrees that:
  - a. Your entity agrees that the resulting Agreement will be the entire agreement between the University (including University's employees and other End Users) and Respondent and in the event that the Respondent requires terms of use agreements or other agreements, policies or understanding, whether on an order form, invoice, website, electronic, click-through, verbal or in writing, with University's employees or other End Users, such agreements shall be null, void and without effect, and the terms of the Agreement shall apply.
  - b. Your entity will identify at the time of submission which, if any, portion or your submitted materials are entitled to "trade secret" exemption from disclosure under Maine's Freedom of Access Act; that failure to so identify will authorize UMS to conclude that no portions are so exempt; and that your entity will defend, indemnify and hold harmless UMS in any and all legal actions that seek to compel UMS to disclose under Maine's Freedom of Access Act some or all of your submitted materials and/or contract, if any, executed between UMS and your entity.

To the best of my knowledge all information provided in the enclosed response, both programmatic and financial, is complete and accurate at the time of submission.

Date:

Name and Title (Printed)

Authorized Signature

University of Maine System RFP Rev. 07/01/2018

# Appendix B – Debarment, Performance and Non-Collusion Certification

## University of Maine System DEBARMENT, PERFORMANCE and NON-COLLUSION CERTIFICATION

### RFP #036-19 University of Maine Radially Viewed ICP Spectrometer

By signing this document, I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that the aforementioned organization, its principals and any subcontractors named in this proposal:

- a. Are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, and declared ineligible or voluntarily excluded from bidding or working on contracts issued by any governmental agency.
- b. Have not within three years of submitting the proposal for this contract been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against them for:
  - i. Fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a federal, state or local government transaction or contract.
  - ii. Violating Federal or State antitrust statutes or committing embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, or receiving stolen property;
  - iii. Are not presently indicted for or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmental entity (Federal, State or Local) with commission of any of the offenses enumerated in paragraph (b) of this certification; and
  - iv. Have not within a three (3) year period preceding this proposal had one or more federal, state or local government transactions terminated for cause or default.
- c. Have not entered into a prior understanding, agreement, or connection with any corporation, firm, or person submitting a response for the same materials, supplies, equipment, or services and this proposal is in all respects fair and without collusion or fraud. The above mentioned entities understand and agree that collusive bidding is a violation of state and federal law and can result in fines, prison sentences, and civil damage awards.

Failure to provide this certification may result in the disqualification of the Respondent's proposal, at the University's discretion.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name and Title (Printed)

Authorized Signature

University of Maine System RFP Rev. 07/01/2018

#### Dated: December 11, 2018

## Appendix C – Required Cost Evaluation Exhibits

University of Maine System COST EVALUATION

## RFP #036-19 University of Maine Radially Viewed ICP Spectrometer

#### **GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS:**

- 1. The Respondent must submit a cost response that covers the entire period of the Agreement, including any optional renewal periods.
- The cost response shall include the costs necessary for the Respondent to fully comply with the Agreement terms and conditions and requirements. Note regarding total cost of ownership: This "cost" will encompass the entire solution pricing along with all products and services offered as part of the solution.
- Failure to provide the requested information and to follow the required cost response format provided in Appendix C may result in the exclusion of the Response from consideration, at the discretion of the University. You can add rows and columns required to insert additional information. If a particular cost table is <u>not required</u> as part of your response simply leave it <u>blank</u>.
- 4. No costs related to the preparation of the Response for this document or to the negotiation of the Agreement with the University may be included in the Response. Only costs to be incurred after the Agreement effective date that are specifically related to the implementation or operation of contracted services may be included.
- 5. Identify all costs by year, to be charged for performing the services necessary to accomplish the objectives of this document.
- 6. If there are additional options or services that are not included in the offering, they must be identified and itemized as "optional" and include a description of the product or service and the costs of the option. All items identified in the response (including third party items required) will be considered free add-ons to the proposed solution at the prices included in this response unless expressly stated otherwise.
- 7. Respondents' are encouraged to provide additional price incentives for providing an enterprise solution, multi-year or award of multiple institutions.
- 8. Pricing will be guaranteed by the vendor for the term of the Agreement.
- The University will <u>NOT</u> seek a best and final offer (BAFO) from any Respondent in this procurement process. All Respondents are expected to provide their best value pricing with the submission of their response. Respondents will <u>NOT</u> be given another opportunity to modify pricing once submitted.
- 10. An <u>MS Excel Version</u> must be included in your final submission for all of these tables. For a copy of the excel version, email the contact provided on the cover page of this document.

# Cost Exhibit A – Spectrometer

Instructions – Cost shall be total cost that includes, but not limited to, cost of the Spectrometer and attachments, warranties if applicable, training, etc.

\$

# **Appendix D – Service Level Agreement**

<<INSTRUCTIONS – Bidders will insert their Service Level Agreement (SLA) here as part of their submission. >>

University of Maine System RFP Rev. 07/01/2018

Request for Proposal (IT) - UMaine Radially Viewed ICP Spectrometer

# **Appendix E – Organization Reference Form**

#### Respondent's Organization Name: \_\_\_\_

**INSTRUCTIONS**: Provide a minimum of three (3) current professional references who may be contacted for verification of the Respondent's professional qualifications to meet the requirements set forth herein. We strongly prefer references from higher education institutions similar in size and requirements to the University of Maine System, including those with multi-campus integrated solutions.

We request that the references include one long-standing customer (minimum of 3 year engagement) and one new customer (one who has been engaged with Respondent for less than one year).

| REFERENCE #1          |  |  |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| Institution/Company   |  |  |
| Name                  |  |  |
| Contact Name          |  |  |
| Contact Title         |  |  |
| Contact Phone Number  |  |  |
| Contact eMail Address |  |  |
| Relationship Length   |  |  |

| REFERENCE #2          |  |  |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| Institution/Company   |  |  |
| Name                  |  |  |
| Contact Name          |  |  |
| Contact Title         |  |  |
| Contact Phone Number  |  |  |
| Contact eMail Address |  |  |
| Relationship Length   |  |  |

| REFERENCE #3          |  |  |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| Institution/Company   |  |  |
| Name                  |  |  |
| Contact Name          |  |  |
| Contact Title         |  |  |
| Contact Phone Number  |  |  |
| Contact eMail Address |  |  |
| Relationship Length   |  |  |

| REFERENCE #4          |  |  |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| Institution/Company   |  |  |
| Name                  |  |  |
| Contact Name          |  |  |
| Contact Title         |  |  |
| Contact Phone Number  |  |  |
| Contact eMail Address |  |  |
| Relationship Length   |  |  |

# Appendix F – Evaluation Question(s) - Organization, Qualifications and Experience

Respondent's Organization Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**INSTRUCTIONS**: Respondents shall ensure that all information required herein is submitted with the response. All information provided should be verifiable by documentation requested by the University. Failure to provide all information, inaccuracy or misstatement may be sufficient cause for rejection of the response or rescission of an award. Respondents are encouraged to provide any additional information describing operational abilities.

#### **Evaluation Question(s)**

- 1. Provide a statement describing your company to include name, number of employees, locations, number of years in business, number of years offering/supporting the proposed solution, and any and all acquisitions or mergers in the last five years. Is the company publicly or privately held?
- 2. Describe your experience offering a Spectrometer identified in this document within higher education. Provide a client list that includes any and all higher education clients.
- Provide a statement that explains why your company would be most qualified to provide products and services to the University of Maine System. What differentiates you from your competitors? In the response the Respondent must demonstrate that they are a recognized leader in the services and/or products covered in this document.
- 4. Financial Stability

No financial statements are required to be submitted with your responses, however, prior to an award the University may request audited financial statements from your company, credit reports and letters from your bank and suppliers.

# Appendix G – Evaluation Question(s) – General, Implementation, Training and Support

#### Respondent's Organization Name: \_\_\_\_

All responses to the questions will reflect what is offered as part of the Respondent's proposed solution. Respondents **MUST** indicate if the product or service requires modification, additional products or services, or if any other accommodation would be necessary to meet a requirement.

#### **Evaluation Question(s) – Implementation Questions**

- 1. Describe your recommended implementation strategy, best practice consulting options, and professional services.
- 2. Indicate your timeline from award to delivery completion of training timeline. Provide task lists and timelines for a standard implementation.
- 3. Outline the staffing and composition of the implementation team.

#### **Evaluation Question(s) – Training Questions**

- 1. Describe the standard training included as part of implementation.
- 2. Describe ongoing training options available for functional and technical users.
- Describe the training methods available such as on-site, online instructor led, online self-help, documentation, etc. Provide an example of each method where possible, e.g. written documentation or webinars.

#### **Evaluation Question(s) – Support Questions**

- 1. Explain what type of documentation, or help system, is included with this solution. Describe all documentation available online to support staff.
- 2. Is there a customer portal available for clients to report issues and obtain information via a knowledge base? Is the knowledge base available within the product's back office?
- 3. What is the process for an emergency, e.g. system down, from notification through resolution?

Request for Proposal (IT) – UMaine Radially Viewed ICP Spectrometer

Dated: December 11, 2018

# **Appendix H – Equipment Description**

<<INSTRUCTIONS – Bidders will insert a detailed description of the equipment included here as part of their submission. >>

University of Maine System RFP Rev. 07/01/2018