

104 Anderson Hall Gorham, ME 04038

Main: 207-780-5207 www.maine.edu

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS # 05-15 Benefits Consulting and Actuarial Services University of Maine System ADDENDUM #1

The University of Maine

This addendum responds to questions submitted by bidders.

University of Maine

at Augusta

Clarification:

University of Maine at Farmington

University of Maine at Fort Kent

Services described in the RFP are not identical to services received at the current time. The scope of services has been tailored to the needs of the university going forward. As a result, fee information for recent years does not necessarily reflect the types and level of service requested in the RFP. For the last two fiscal years, total fees for benefits consulting and actuarial work, exclusive of commissions, have been:

University of Maine at Machias

FY13 \$ 83,220 FY14 \$119,334.

University of Maine at Presque Isle

Current vendors are Willis Human Capital Practice and TowersWatson.

University of Southern Maine Please refer to the additional information contained in the attached pages.

Gregg Allen

University of Maine System

Sourcing Manager

September 19, 2014

Response to Bidder Questions

General

- 1. What are the three biggest employee benefits challenges that the University of Maine System (UMS) has overcome in recent years?
 - Significant future cost avoidance from competitive bidding for benefits programs
 - Transition to sole record keeper for 403(b) plan and redesigned investment menu offerings
 - Retiree health plan cost reduction and reduction of unfunded liability for post employment health benefits
- 2. What do you view as the three most significant employee benefits challenges UMS will face over the next few years?
 - Increases in the costs of the benefits programs, particularly health benefits; benefits costs as a percentage of total compensation
 - Compliance with the Affordable Care Act
 - Negotiating benefits provisions with six unions
 - Redesign of benefits programs
 - Developing a culture of health
- 3. What is the reason this work is out to bid?

The University of Maine System conducts competitive procurement processes to ensure we are receiving the best quality and value in goods and services. It is our practice to periodically request bids for services where incumbents have been in place for a number of years.

- 4. We note that the requested actuarial valuations for the Incentive Retirement Plan and the Retirement Plan for Classified Staff are characterized as valuations prepared for GASB purposes only, and the valuation reports provided with the RFP for these plans cover GASB reporting only. Under GASB 27, at least, it has been somewhat unusual to see separate valuation reports produced for accounting purposes only. Are actuarial valuations for funding purposes also produced for these two plans, and if so was the preparation of those valuations intentionally excluded from the scope of services sought under the RFP?
 - The Incentive Retirement Plan is not a funded program
 - UMS recently adopted a funding policy for the defined benefit retirement plan for hourly/classified employees. The policy is attached. Until recently the plan was fully funded. The actuary is expected to provide a funding strategy as well as the plan valuation. A copy of the current funding strategy is also attached.

- The OPEB valuation contains the Annual Required Contribution (ARC). UMS funds the ARC through a combination of pay as you go costs and funding to a trust.
- Please note that UMS is a public, non-profit, non-ERISA, quasi-governmental organization.
- 5. What are UMS's greatest overall challenges with regard to your HR/benefits initiatives? a. What are the greatest vendor challenges?
 - · With any vendor UMS puts a priority on open, clear, ongoing communication
 - b. The greatest communication/technology challenges?
 - Technology challenges include limited internal IT resources to devote to development of interfaces and to dedicate to new initiatives, the need to expand employee self-service, and the need for better integrated internal systems.
 - Communication challenges include developing a culture of health improvement and consumerism
- 6. Will annual enrollment remain the month of November?
 - We expect open enrollment to continue to be in November
- 7. What systems do UMS employees use to access HR/benefits information, enroll in benefits, and view wellness educational content and participate in wellness programs and activities?
 - Employees use PeopleSoft self-service to enroll in benefits, designate beneficiaries, etc.
 - Our wellness website RiseUP is used to track participation in the wellness incentive program and provides wellness and health information
 - Our FSA provider has a website where employees can manage their accounts
 - Communication with employees is conducted through e-mail, mail, posters, employee meetings and information on websites
- 8. Who are UMS's current health management vendors today?
 - CIGNA for active employee health; Aetna for retiree health; Provant for wellness
 - a. How would UMS rate your satisfaction with each? High, average or below expectations
- 9. Is the incumbent actuary being invited to bid on this proposal?
 - Yes
- 10. Please clarify where the Signature Page (page 16 of the RFP) goes in the proposal response.
 - Please place the signature page behind the cover page

Scope of Services

- 1. When was the last limited-scope audit of the UMS OPEB valuation?
- 2. When was the last full replication audit of the UMS OPEB valuation?
 - The OPEB valuation is within the scope of the annual financial audit, but it is not separately audited.
- 3. Question 7 says: "Support of cultural change project (culture of health): Consultant will provide expertise and liaison with outside culture of health consultant..."
 - a. Who is currently your outside "culture of health consultant"?
 - b. Can you describe the role of the outside culture of health consultant?
 - c. What are the drivers for your culture of health initiative (e.g., objectives, financial drivers, high-level timeframes, etc.)?"
 - d. What phase are you in now with regard to the cultural change project (culture of health)?
 - UMS is in the initial stages of work—assessment and development— to develop a culture of health. Dr. Judd Allen of Human Resources Institute, LLC (HealthyCulture.com) conducted initial work on assessing the culture and submitted a report. That engagement was completed. We are currently working with the Employee Health Plan Task Force and Provant, our wellness provider, to determine the next steps in this effort. Drivers are the cost of health benefits, a desire to increase employee engagement and what appears to be a low level of health care consumerism in the employee population.
- 4. Does UMS have a data warehouse today?
 - a. If yes, is it a direct contract you intend to maintain or is it through another third party?
 - UMS does not have a data warehouse. We purchase data as needed. We have used data from the Maine Health Management Coalition, the Executive Advisory Board and our vendors.
 - b. If applicable, will UMS entertain proposals for an alternate data warehouse?
 - Yes
- 5. What wellness programs are currently in place?
 - a. Does UMS have incentives today for wellness?
 - b. If yes, are they primarily based on participation, activities or outcomes?
 - For information about wellness programs and incentives please see http://www.umsriseup.maine.edu/
- 6. What creative contracting solutions has UMS pursued to date?
 - UMS has worked with CIGNA to move our healthcare systems to CIGNA's accountable care contract

- UMS has worked with other large Maine employers, including the State Employee Health Commission and the Maine Education Association to develop regional ACO arrangements.
- 7. Have you done any research with your employee audience; e.g., focus groups, communication audits?
 - UMS works with our Employee Health Plan Task Force, a group that includes representatives of all bargaining units as well as non-represented employees to communicate about, get input about, assess the impact of, and educate about benefits programs.
 - See response to Question 3 regarding Culture of Health initiatives
- 8. Has UMS conducted employee surveys regarding effectiveness or satisfaction with its HR/benefits programs and/or employee communications?
 - Not recently. Benefits are collectively bargained and input about employee opinions is received from bargaining, through the Employee Health Plan Task Force, and from group meetings about benefits
 - a. What are the findings?
 - UMS has a rich benefits program which is highly valued by most employees.
 Employee concerns focus on maintaining a high level of benefits without greatly increasing the cost to employees.
- 9. Does UMS currently have a specific brand or identity for its overall HR/benefits programs?
 a. How is it currently used?
 - The UMS wellness program is branded as "RiseUP." We do not have branding for the benefits programs overall.
 - b. If not, will you want us to create an identity for you or work with your internal creative resources?
 - We want consultants to work with internal communications staff. A major branding initiative is not within the scope of the RFP.
- 10. Do you have language requirements beyond U.S. English?
 - UMS has significant groups of employees who speak Somali and French. We are not requesting that benefits materials be provided in these languages.
 Information from bidders about availability and cost of materials in other languages would be appreciated.

Financial and Legal

- 1. Can you provide us with the current fees you are paying for these actuarial valuation services?
 - Incentive Retirement Valuation: Most recent full valuation \$18,690

- DB plan for hourly/classified employees valuation: Most recent full valuation \$28,052
- OPEB valuation –\$19,200
- 2. Would UMS be agreeable to a mutually defined limitation of liability?
 - The sample contract language that was provided reflects UMS's standard requirement. If a bidder cannot comply with any aspect of that language, the conflict must be clearly identified in the proposal.

9/18/14

University of Maine System Classified Retirement Plan Funding Policy Adopted February 27, 2014

The University of Maine System (UMS) adopted a funding strategy for its Defined Benefit Retirement Plan for Classified Staff on February 27, 2014. The UMS funding strategy follows a long-term contribution schedule, such that a level annual dollar amount will be contributed to the plan indefinitely, while never allowing the plan's assets to be depleted.

Funding the plan over the long-term allows UMS to smooth market impacts, limiting contribution volatility. The required contribution amount will be re-determined with each actuarial valuation as market performance and other factors will impact the required future funding.

As determined by its actuaries, the UMS annual contribution to be made in fiscal year 2014 is \$550,000, based on the UMS Investment Consultant's supported rate of return of 7.25%. This amount is to be funded on April 1, 2014.

UMS intends to make future years' contributions on the first day of the second quarter of the fiscal year (e.g., January 1st); however, funding on the first day of any quarter in the fiscal year is acceptable.

Adopting this funding policy allows the UMS to continue using its Investment Consultant's approved rate of return for purposes of the UMS Retirement Plan for Classified Staff actuarial valuation, including any unfunded portion of the liability.

Funding Policy approved by:

Rebecca Wyke, Vice Chancellor for Administration and Finance

University of Maine System Retirement Plan for Classified Staff Projected Contribution Schedule

Schedule 1: Long Term Contribution Schedule

					Projected	Projected
	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected	Investment	Fiduciary Net
	Fiduciary Net	Employer	Admin	Benefit	Earnings	Position
Yea	r Position BOY	Contributions	Expenses	Payments	(7.25%)	EOY
1	39,188,846	550,000	90,000	5,008,925	2,679,178	37,319,099
2	37,319,099	550,000		4,933,449	2,546,245	35,390,095
3	35,390,095	550,000		4,818,190	2,410,432	33,438,701
4	33,438,701	550,000	-	4,671,458	2,274,115	31,495,850
5	31,495,850	550,000		4,529,023	2,138,264	29,557,672 27,622,052
6	29,557,672	550,000		4,388,919 4,229,713	2,002,666 1,867,933	27,022,032 25,708,917
7	27,622,052	550,000	-	4,076,933	1,734,600	23,700,917
8	25,708,917	550,000		3,924,317	1,602,523	21,935,959
9	23,813,202 21,935,959	550,000 550,000		3,750,536	1,472,537	20,100,401
10 11	20,100,401	550,000		3,581,978	1,345,385	18,304,099
12	18,304,099	550,000		3,418,776	1,220,888	16,544,307
13	16,544,307	550,000		3,228,606	1,099,996	14,851,556
14	14,851,556	550,000		3,025,599	984,421	13,243,954
15	13,243,954	550,000	-	2,854,694	873,874	11,694,380
16	11,694,380	550,000	-	2,661,541	768,324	10,230,035
17	10,230,035	550,000		2,465,619	669,051	8,859,917
18	8,859,917	550,000	126,022	2,274,397	576,440	7,585,938
19	7,585,938	550,000	128,542	2,081,788	490,847	6,416,454
20	6,416,454	550,000	131,113	1,893,289	412,681	5,354,733
21	5,354,733	550,000	133,735	1,721,714	341,724	4,391,007
22	4,391,007	550,000			277,750	3,528,860
23	3,528,860	550,000			221,096	2,774,367
24		550,000		1,232,120	171,793	2,122,119
25		550,000			129,582	1,570,217
26		550,000			94,214	
27		550,000			65,296	745,633 460,714
28		550,000			42,401 25,079	
29		550,000 550,000			12,885	
30	252,123 113,563	550,000			5,393	
31 32		550,000			2,211	
33		550,000			2,989	
· 34		550,000			7,423	
35		550,000			15,259	
36		550,000			26,294	
37	· ·	550,000			40,367	689,878
38		550,000			57,362	953,498
39	The second secon	550,000	191,007	132,523	77,195	1,257,163
40		550,000	194,827	' 111,789	99,813	
41	1,600,359	550,000	198,724		125,195	
42	1,982,983	550,000			153,344	
43	2,405,244	550,000	206,752	2 65,120	184,286	2,867,658

University of Maine System Retirement Plan for Classified Staff **Projected Contribution Schedule**

Schedule 1: Long Term Contribution Schedule

					Projected	Projected
	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected	Investment	Fiduciary Net
	Fiduciary Net	Employer	Admin	Benefit	Earnings	Position
Year	Position BOY	Contributions	Expenses	Payments	(7.25%)	EOY
44	2,867,658	550,000	210,887	53,739	218,069	3,371,101
45	3,371,101	147,000	215,105		240,411	3,499,365
46	3,499,365	0	219,407	35,819	244,614	3,488,753
47	3,488,753	0	223,795	28,914	243,934	
48	3,479,978	0	228,271	23,133	243,344	
4 9	3,471,919	0	232,836	18,348	242,768	
50	3,463,502	0	237,493	14,410	242,132	
51	3,453,732	0	242,243		241,369	
52	3,441,649	0	247,088		240,412	
53	3,426,339	0	252,030	6,595	239,198	
54	3,406,913	0	257,070		237,668	
55	3,382,525	0	262,212		235,761	
56	3,352,335	0	267,456		233,419	
57	3,315,514	0	272,805		230,586	
58	3,271,243	0	278,261	1,500	227,201	
59	3,218,683	0	•		223,208	
60	3,156,990	0			218,543	
61	3,085,255	0			213,144	
62	3,002,560	0			206,945	•
63	2,907,924	0			199,873	
64	2,800,312	0	313,367		191,855	
65	2,678,623	0			182,812	
66	2,541,683	0	326,027		172,657	
67	2,388,234	0	332,548		161,301	
68	2,216,935	0	339,199		148,646	•
69	2,026,349	0	345,983		134,587	
70	1,814,933	0	352,902		119,013	
71	1,581,032	0	359,960		101,804	
72	1,322,869	. 0	367,159		82,831	
73	1,038,538	0			61,956	
74	725,989	0	381,993	1	39,029	
7 5	383,025	0	389,633	1	13,892	7,283

Notes:

- Expected return on asset assumption of 7.25% assumed met annually.
- Expected benefit payments based on data, assumptions, and methods used in July 1, 2013 report dated September 2013
- Contributions, benefit payments, and administrative expenses assumed to be paid mid-year
- Administrative expenses assumed to increase by 2% annually